

No. ID/RTK/154/82/57732.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Tirath Raj and the management of General Manager Haryana Roadways, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Tirath Raj was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/140/82/57738.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Om Parkash and the management of M/s. Gaur Potteries, Near Railway Station, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Om Parkash was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/149/82/57745.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Gudri Parshad and the management of M/s Kalra Pulp and Board Mills Pvt. Ltd., Barota Road, Near Jatheri, Sonipat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Gudri Parshad was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 31st December, 1982

No. ID/FD/331/82/58073.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Janvad Singh and the management M/s Frontier Rubber Factory 1-F/41, B. P. N. I. T., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jangod Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?